



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/757,006	01/08/2001	Freddie Geier	P2648-719	7086
75	90 07/06/2004		EXAM	INÈR
Jame W. Peterson			ARSHAD, UMAR	
BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P. P.O. Box 1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Alexandria, VA	A 22313-1404	2174		
			DATE MAILED: 07/06/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/757,006	GEIER ET AL.				
Advisory Notion	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Umar Arshad	2174				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 11 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (100 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeter Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice I) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	oly to a cation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of		- Cl:				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dahave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions.	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S 36(a) and the appropriate	See MPEP			
37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moleanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I statutory period for reply originally set in	the final Office action; or	(2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejections.	ction(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely file	d amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See continuation sheet below.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		to issues which we	ere newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		• •				
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:	Wust	in Kincaid INE KINCAID	ン			
BEST AVAILABLE	COPY SUPERVISORY	PATENT EXAMINER GY CENTER 2100				

The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The Applicant argues that Slivka does not teach or disclose having a common theme. The Examiner respectfully disagrees, because Slivka teaches having hypertext multimedia documents for display as folder views, and these documents are synthesized from templates (see Slivka, column 3, lines 55 - 67). The examiner interprets a template as a common theme because it describes the settings for the user interface elements of a window. Therefore, Slivka clearly teaches having a common theme.

The Applicant also argues that Slivka does not disclose icons having a common theme and correlated to a respective media file. The Examiner disagrees, because Slivka teaches graphical icons in a folder view and that the control for the folder views provides the same user interface and functionality of the folder views in the Microsoft Windows ® 95 operating system (see Slivka, figure 7, item 180, column 3, lines 62 – 66, column 11, lines 2 – 3 and column 19, line 66 – column 20, line 7). The examiner interprets graphical icons in a folder view as selectable icons indicating a media file and it is taught that the template provides graphical icons in a folder view, therefore it is inherent that the icons displayed in figure 7, item 180 are representative of the template and therefore indicate the theme. It is also inherent that the selectable icons displayed indicate the media file to be recorded onto a recordable media because it is taught that user interface operations of the Windows® 95 operating system are available in the folder views, and the Windows® 95 operating system has features to allow the drag and drop of media file icons onto recordable mediums. Therefore Slivka teaches icons having a common theme and correlated to a respective media file.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY